

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. Guy P. Vanney 11529US.01 10/608,297 06/27/2003 9004 **EXAMINER** 33486 12/03/2004 7590 HEIMBECHER & ASSOCIATES, LLC. ROLLINS, ROSILAND STACIE 390 UNION BLVD PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT SUITE 650 LAKEWOOD, CO 80228-6512 3739

DATE MAILED: 12/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| 4  | A   | A 1! 4/ - \                 |
|--|---|-----------------------------|
|  | Application No.                             | Applicant(s)                |
| Office Action Summary  | 10/608,297                                  | VANNEY ET AL.               |
|  | Examiner                                    | Art Unit                    |
|  | Rosiland S Rollins                          | 3739                        |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address<br>Period for Reply  |   |                             |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |   |                             |
| Status   |   |                             |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 September 2004</u> .  |   |                             |
| 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ This action is non-final.  |   |                             |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is   |   |                             |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |   |                             |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |                             |
| 4) Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.   |   |                             |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |   |                             |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |   |                             |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.  |   |                             |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |   |                             |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  |   |                             |
| Application Papers   |   |                             |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.   |   |                             |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.   |   |                             |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |   |                             |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |   |                             |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.   |   |                             |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |                             |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:   |   |                             |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  |   |                             |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   |   |                             |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage  |   |                             |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  |   |                             |
| the attached detailed emoc action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |   |                             |
| •  |   |                             |
| Attachment(s)  |   |                             |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) Interview Summary<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Da |                             |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)   |   | atent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | 6) Other:                                   |                             |

Art Unit: 3739

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-4, 8-16, 18/1 and 18/11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Swartz et al. (US 6080151). Swartz et al. disclose an ablation catheter comprising a porous conductor (22), a catheter shaft that includes a proximal portion, a distal portion, at least one lumen adapted to carry wires (figure 4), an active region including a plurality of portholes (20). **Regarding claims 13 & 14** see figure 13.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 19/1, 19/11, 20/1 and 20/11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Swartz et al. Swartz teaches all of the limitations of the claims except the cross-section configuration having a polygonal configuration. To have provided a polygonal cross sectional configuration would have been obvious to one

Art Unit: 3739

having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known shape on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice.

Claims 5-7, 17, 18/17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Swartz et al. further in view of Stewart et al. (US 2003/0130713). Swartz et al. disclose a pull wire as a system to control movement of the catheter hence, Swartz et al. teach all of the limitations of the claims except the catheter comprising a shape memory wire. Stewart et al. disclose a similar device and teach that it is well known in the art to interchangeably provide pull wires and shape memory wires within a catheter as a retention mechanism since they are functional equivalents. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a shape memory wire in the Swartz et al. particularly in view of the teachings of Stewart et al.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rosiland S Rollins whose telephone number is 703/3082711. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 9:00 AM - 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C. Dvorak can be reached on 703/3080994. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 5

Rosiland S Rollins Primary Examiner Art Unit 3739